How do we measure success for Open Research?

Establish Principles for monitoring Open practices

Informed by community standards
 Pragmatism over idealism
 Interoperability and inclusivity
 Scalable and efficient
 Use an Open Science approach
 Responsible use

Monitor Prevalence of Open practices



There is a growing need for reliable data on the extent to which Open Research (or Open Science) practices are being adopted and the impact (effects) of adopting these practices: to better understand researchers, support institutional planning, and to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions that are intended to change research culture and practice. The 2021 UNESCO recommendation on Open Science has necessitated the establishment of a global Open Science monitoring framework, and progress has in parallel been made at the national level in France, with the French Open Science Monitor. Further, research institutions in the UK will begin piloting, in 2024, new ways to monitor indicators of open research (science). Scholarly publishers and funding agencies with progressive policies on open science, beyond open access to publications, are also establishing monitoring approaches. The non-profit open access publisher PLOS in 2022 launched 'Open Science Indicators', (OSI) an initiative that tracks adoption of Open Science practices – such as sharing data, code, protocols and preprints – over time in the scholarly literature supported by artificial intelligence. These OSIs were reused in the UK Committee on Research Integrity's (UKCORI) 2023 report on research integrity in the UK, as a way to benchmark aspects of the transparency of UK research. In 2024, PLOS is using OSI to begin to understand the impact of Open Science practices, such as on citations in collaboration with researchers at University of Bologna and HEIG-VD, PLOS, DataSeer and other solution providers are collaborating with the UK Reproducibility Network (UKRN) to begin piloting new ways to monitor indicators of open research (science), as part of the UKRN Open Research Indicators pilots.

Monitor Impact of Open practices



References

- Hrynaszkiewicz, Iain; Kiermer, Veronique (2022). PLOS
 Open Science Indicators principles and definitions.
 Figshare.
- Public Library of Science (2022). PLOS Open Science Indicators. Public Library of Science. Figshare.
- Bassinet *et al.* (2023). Large-scale Machine-Learning analysis of scientific PDF for monitoring the production and the openness of research data and software in France
- UK Committee on Research Integrity. (2023). Research Integrity in the UK: Annual statement 2023. Zenodo.
- Open Science Monitoring Initiative, Principles of Open

Science Monitoring, Paris, 2024. DOI : 10.52949/49
Colavizza *et al.* (2024), An analysis of the effects of sharing research data, code, and preprints on citations. arXiv.





ALMA MATER STUDIORUM Università di Bologna



Iain Hrynaszkiewicz PLOS, UK ihrynaszkiewicz@plos.org Take a picture to access the PLOS Open Science Indicators dataset and methods



Or visit:

doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21687686