Before Lagoa Santa: Micro-remain and technological analysis in a lithic artifact from the Itaparica industry
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Abstract:

In this article we present the results of a series of analysis performed on a lithic artifact from the Itaparica industry, a limace, found in Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu, an archaeological site bordering the Lagoa Santa area in Central Brazil. The site was occupied between 11.477 ± 133 cal. BP and 1.087 ±78 cal. BP, and the archaeological record for this occupation is related to the archaeological Lagoa Santa Tradition. However, the artifact was found in deeper and relative older archaeological levels, in a different stratigraphy context. The technological analysis confirms its exotic nature, not corresponding to the Lagoa Santa industry, but to another Paleoindian archaeological tradition instead. Its technological features are similar to the limaces related to Itaparica Tradition lithic industries. It’s important to notice that this is the only limace found at Lagoa Santa until now, and archaeological context did not allow us to associate it to the Lagoa Santa Tradition. In order to have an insight on the use of this artifact we performed some technological and micro-remain analysis. Various starch grains and other materials were recovered from the active parts of the artifact. The microbotanical analysis indicated the use of the artifact for processing starchy plants. As far as we know, this is the most ancient evidence of the processing of starchy plants in the Americas.
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1. Introduction

Lagoa Santa is a karstic zone located in central Brazil, 46 km from Belo Horizonte, capital of Minas Gerais State (Araujo & Oliveira 2010: 14). Innumerous sinks, dolinas, lapas, caves, grottes and rock shelters are contained within this region. Most of them house archeological sites whose findings have been the subjects of heated academic discussions.

Lagoa Santa was put on the map in the 19th century through the work of the Danish naturalist Peter W. Lund, who explored nearly 200 caves; he was one of the first researchers...
to propose the coexistence between humans and the extinct megafauna. Various researches took place after Lund’s, principally to test his findings, but it was only in the middle of the 20th century (in the 1950s) that a proper archaeological excavation was performed by the American-Brazilian Mission (Araujo et al. 2012). This mission was formed by Wesley Hurt, Castro Faria, Paula Couto and Oldemar Blasi; they excavated the Cerca Grande, Lapa das Boleiras and Lapa do Chapéu sites, recovering a great quantity of burials and providing the first absolute date for the region (9700 BP or 11,200 cal. BP from the Cerca Grande site; Araujo, Neves, and Kipnis 2012: 534)

Another international team of archeologists came in the ‘70s, in the form of the French-Brazilian Mission, coordinated by Lamming-Emperaire (Lamming-Emperaire et al. 1975). Apart from the archeological excavations, the team included ethnographic and geological studies. The systematic register of cave paintings was the first of its kind in Brazil (Prous, Fogaça, & Ribeiro 1998: 6). However it was their excavations at Lapa Vermelha IV that brought an important discovery: The skull of Luzia, made famous decades later by the cranoemorphological studies of Walter Neves and colleagues in which they proposed an affinity for the Paleoindians within the Lagoa Santa Region with modern Austromelanesian populations rather than Asian, which were confirmed by subsequent studies (Neves & Pucciarelli 1991; Bernardo 2007: 239-240; Neves & Hubbe 2005; Neves, et al. 2007; Neves et al. 2004; Neves et al. 2003). Nevertheless, not all researchers agree and Seguchi and colleagues (2011) see an affinity with the Jomon population.

More recently, since the year 2001, the Laboratory of Human Evolut ive Studies of the University of São Paulo (LEEH-USP) worked on the region throughout the archaeological project: *Origens e Microevolução do Homem na América: Uma Abordagem Paleoantropológica* (FAPESP 99/00670 - 7 e 04/01321-6). This interdisciplinary project continued with the excavations of past projects (Cerca Grande IV, Lapa das Boleiras, Lapa do Santo), as well as new sites (Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu), including open-air sites (Sumidouro and Coquerinho). Various ground-breaking archaeological finds have been produced from this project, including the oldest figurative petroglyph ever found in the New World (Neves et al. 2012). In sum, we now know the following about the Lagoa Santa region (for a more detailed study of the most recent findings in Lagoa Santa region, we recommend reading Araujo et al., 2012):

1. The region’s first occupations were after 12,500 cal. BP;
2. The skeletal remains from this time differ from modern native groups;
3. There is no evidence that the Lagoa Santa people were hunting megafauna even though they were contemporary;
4. The Lagoa Santa lithic industry is different from other Brazilian contemporary groups; and
5. The subsistence of the inhabitants was based on collecting tubers, fruits and seeds, complemented with fishing and hunting of small prey.

More on the topic number 4 in the following paragraphs.

### 1.1. Paleoindian lithic industries in Brazil

Broadly speaking, three industries were identified at the Pleistocene-Holocene transition in the territory now known as Brazil (Figure 1):

a. The Umbu industry: Found in southern Brazil, from the central part of São Paulo State and extending to Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay. This industry is characterized by the large presence of bifacial projectile points of diverse types with little form variability in time (Araujo & Pugliese 2009; 2010), but some variability in space
The most ancient occupations related to this industry date from 11,555 BP (13,459 cal. BP) (Miller 1987).

b. The Itaparica industry: Established in central and northwestern Brazil. Characterised by the presence of extensively reduced and retouched artifacts and dominance of unifacial artifacts, known colloquially as ‘limaces’. Assemblages related to this industry practically has neither bifacial artifacts nor cores (Araujo & Pugliese 2009). Itaparica industry is based on a large production of limaces by core-flaking of thick blades and unifacial reduction and retouch (Calderón 1973; Schmitz et al. 1989, Fogaça 2003; Lourdeau 2010; Moreno de Sousa 2016). Typical Itaparica limaces tend to have more than one functional edge, and its technological features are usually related to scraping activity (Lourdeau 2010; Moreno de Sousa 2016). The most ancient occupations related to this industry date from 12,070 (14,122 cal. BP) (Prous 1997).

c. The Lagoa Santa Lithic industry distinguishes itself from the above mentioned by the lack of formal artifacts, specially limaces and bifacial points. Instead, this industry is dominated by little sized flakes, some of them retouched. The raw material most used by the manufacturers of this industry was quartz, however, there is an apparent preference for the flint in the earliest levels of some sites, such as Lapa das Boleiras (Araujo & Pugliese 2010) and Lapa do Santo (Moreno de Sousa 2014: 17). This industry does not seem to experience significant changes from 12,500 to 8,000 cal BP. The Lagoa Santa lithic industry could be described as “a microlithic industry based small flakes of crystal quartz and flint, with casual grounding techniques on other kinds of raw material” (Moreno de Sousa 2014: 169).

Formal, standardized artifacts are very rare in the Lagoa Santa Region, at least in the Pleistocene - Holocene transition, and only 19 bifacial points have been reported in all the years that the region has been investigated (Araujo & Pugliese 2010: 80). It is even more uncommon to find a unifacialy reduced artifact in the area and only two have been recovered so far: One outside the Cerca Grande VI site, found without stratigraphical context (Araujo, et al. 2012: 538), and another one recovered during the excavations at Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu, which is the focus of this article.

1.2. Archaeobotanical studies in Lagoa Santa

Although not a very common practice, archaeological projects in the region have included a description of the botanical remains found during their excavations (e.g., Hurt & Blasi 1969; Resende & Prous 1991). However, it is only until recently that studies with archaeobotanic objectives have taken place, most of them focusing on macroremains (Rodrigues Silva 2006: 11; Melo Junior & Ceccantini 2010; Nakamura, et al. 2010). Microremain studies are, however, still not applied in the area, the master’s thesis of Gardiman (2014: 8) being the only study so far that focuses on starch grains, though with a more recent temporality than the material analyzed in this article.

1.3. Taquaraçu archaeological site

The archaeological site Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu is a limestone rockshelter (approximately 30 x 9 m in extension) located next to the Taquaraçu river about 20 km to the west of the APA of Lagoa Santa (Environmental Protection Area) (Figures 2 and 3).

The site was excavated between the years 2003 and 2008 as part of the project “A Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu: Análise Geoarqueológica de um Sítio Abrigado do Período Paleoíndio no Sudeste Brasileiro”, as part of the above mentioned project “Origens e Microevolução do Homem na América: Uma Abordagem Paleoantropológica”.
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During the excavations an 80 cm thick archaeological layer was defined. This layer is composed mainly of well stratified, anthropogenic sediments (mainly wood ash; Figure 4), with a small geogenic contribution (Tudela 2013: 77), containing a large quantity of lithic, plant and animal remains, including two human skeletons. Ceramic pieces were also found, but in small quantity and only at the surface. The lithic material is mainly composed of hyaline quartz flakes and splinters, with some flint occurring in the lower levels, showing the typical characteristics of the Lagoa Santa industry.

The samples recovered for dating indicated an occupation between 11,477 ± 133 cal. BP and 1,087 ±78 cal. BP, with a hiatus between 9,028 ±82 and 1,087 ±78 cal. BP (Table 1). This hiatus is marked by the complete absence of anthropogenic sediment accumulation, a process already observed at other sites in the Lagoa Santa area (Araujo et al. 2005; Araujo et al. 2008; Araujo et al. 2012).

During the excavation of one of the units (H8), a plain-convex artifact was found between the large limestone boulders at the bottom of the unit (90cm deep, see Figures 3, 4 and 5). It was immediately stored inside a clean plastic bag, and not subject to any cleaning or washing before this study. The artifact was clearly an isolate find, not related to any of the other cultural materials present in the upper layers, and without associated charcoal.
Therefore, we can only say that its deposition precedes the oldest age obtained for the main site occupation (i.e. older than 11,500 cal. BP). This is the artifact that we focus on this article.

Figure 2. Localization of the Lagoa Santa area and the Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu archaeological site. Modified from Araujo, Neves and Kipnis (2012).

Table 1. Calibrated dates from the Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu using the calibration curve CalPal2007_HULU (Danzeglocke et al. 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Radiocarbon date (Years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated date (Years BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TQ 421</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1160± 60</td>
<td>1087 ± 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 417</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8080 ± 40</td>
<td>9028 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 402</td>
<td>E Profile</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>8230 ± 50</td>
<td>9202 ± 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 430</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8310 ± 40</td>
<td>9344 ± 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 441</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8730 ± 40</td>
<td>9703 ± 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 404</td>
<td>E Profile</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>8730 ± 50</td>
<td>9720 ± 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 454</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8910 ± 40</td>
<td>10052 ± 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 459</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>9040 ± 40</td>
<td>10218 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 297</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>9540 ± 90</td>
<td>10896 ± 164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 268</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>9550 ± 60</td>
<td>10911 ± 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 295</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>9620 ± 40</td>
<td>10979 ± 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 536</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9990 ± 60</td>
<td>11477 ± 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQ 544</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9900 ± 60</td>
<td>11349 ± 89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. Topographic map showing the location of the excavation units at Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu Rockshelter, including H8 where the artifact was recovered. Abbreviations - Nm - magnetic north. Diagram by Astolfo Araujo, 2015.
Figure 4. Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu, Unit H8 - north profile image, showing a thick anthropogenic sediment accumulation over the basal layer of limestone pebbles and cobbles where the artifact was recovered. The location of the artifact is indicated by a triangle. Drawing by Astolfo Araujo.

Figure 5. Location of the artifact. Photo by Astolfo Araujo.
2. Methods

The artifact was the object of various analyses with the aim of understanding its manufacturing process and its use, some of which we describe below.

2.1. Micro-remains analysis

In order to recover the starch grains from this artifact, we created a protocol based on the ones described by Cascon (2009: 47-56; 2010: 96-103), Pagán Jiménez and Oliver (2008), and Pagán Jiménez (2011). Most of the analyses were performed at the Laboratory of Plant Anatomy of the Institute of Biosciences of the University of São Paulo, Brazil; additional equipment, such as the ultrasonic bath and a centrifuge was provided by the Laboratories of Cellular Biology and Plant Physiology respectively.

With the aim of looking for concentrations of biological material, the artifact was placed under a Nikon Eclipse E200 microscope equipped with dark field and examined at low magnifications (4x, 10x with a 10x ocular). After this survey, the sediment on the artifact was brushed off with a bright new disposable toothbrush; this brushing was made separately in different parts of the artifact: proximal, left side, right side and distal (Figure 6). The differential selection of the tool into parts was made by identifying three active areas in the artifact, and one handling area. A different toothbrush was used for every individual section of the artifact, and the sediment was stored in a clean new Falcom flask. The operation was repeated with a toothbrush soaked in distilled water. In this way, the limace was apparently cleaned of all sediment, but a little bit of it was in the microcracks; in order to recuperate that sediment (under the supposition that this would be the most related to the use of the artifact) the different parts of the artifacts were individually put in an ultrasonic bath for 10 minutes, the resulting pellet was then stored in a clean new Falcom Flask. This process resulted in two different sediments for each individual part of the artifact: One resulted from the dry brushing (sediment I), and another sediment from the ultrasonic bath (sediment II).

For the recovery and separation of the starch grains from the sediments, each sample was mixed with a Cesium Chloride (CsCl) solution with a 1.8g/cm³ specific gravity, stirred for 30 seconds and then centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 12 to 15 minutes. By doing this, the starch grains with a specific gravity less than 1.8 g/cm³ stay in the supernatant (A). Even so, the resulting sediment (B) was analyzed because it could contain starch grains with a specific gravity greater than 1.8g/cm³. After this, A and B were poured into an 2mL eppendorf flask adding 0.5 or 1 mL of distilled water, shaken for 10-15 seconds, so as to eliminate all the CsCl crystals that could be formed, and then placed back into the centrifuge for 20-25 minutes at 3,200 rpm. The centrifugation was repeated 2 more times with less water each time. After this step, one drop of the resulting solution was poured on to a slide, covered with a sterile petri dish, and then a drop of glycerol was added. It was just after this procedure that a coverslip was placed on top and sealed with nail polish.

The slides were analysed under a Leica DMLB microscope with polarized filters. Each starch and other biological material encountered was photographed with a Leica DFC310FX camera, described and measured with Image J free software (Rasband 1997).

In order to minimize the contamination by modern starch, the plastic and glass materials used were immersed in a Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) solution for 8 hours and then washed with distilled water. The DMSO is a standard solvent used in plant storage laboratories for the elimination of starch. The area of work was cleaned daily with alcohol (ETOH) and only non-powdered plastic gloves were used. Following the recommendations of past researches (Laurence et al. 2011; Crowther et al. 2014) for detecting some possible airborne starch present at the air, petri dishes were filled with distilled water and left in different laboratory
areas that were used for the starch analysis for 12 days. In addition, the occupied solutions were routinely analysed for the presence of starch.

Figure 6 Unifacially reduced artifact found at Lapa de Taquaraçu archaeological site, with the signalized areas where sediment was recovered for starch. A: distal area; B: right area; C: left area; D: proximal area. Photo by João Carlos Moreno da Sousa.

2.2. Additional testing

In addition to these studies, we did a preliminary test to detect the presence of hemoglobin in the same parts that were analysed for the presence of starch. We used the urinalysis test strip technique described by Malainey (2011) applied successfully in archaeological contexts by Matheson, Hall and Viel (2009) and Williamson (2000). Results are presented elsewhere (Angeles Flores 2015).

2.3. Technological analysis

The applied method of analysis was based on the operatory chain notion of Mauss (1936) and Leroi-Gourhan (1965), as well as the notions of lithic technological features of Inizan et al (1995), the notion of Technofunctional Unities (UTFs) of Boëda (1997: 107-108) and the basic procedures of technical drawing of Dauvois (1976). In this sense, the technological features were useful for different stages of production (debitage, reduction and retouch) identification, as well for the understanding of the diachronic organization of the negatives. The transformative UTFs (i.e. active edges) were also identified based on technological features patterns.
3. Results

3.1. Technology

The artifact presents a typical Itaparica industry limace set of features: It is a large and thick flake with unifacial reduction and unifacial retouch (Figure 7). The main features are presented in Table 2.

![Figure 7](image_url)

Figure 7. Limace found at Lapa de Taquaraçu archaeological site. Dark arrow represents the absence of platform and bulb in the blank flake. Scale bar is 7 cm. Drawing by João Carlos Moreno da Sousa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blank</th>
<th>Large and thick flake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw material</td>
<td>Quartzite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>190.9 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>114 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>56 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transformative UTFs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four debitage negatives still remain in the superior artifact face. Those negatives are not enough to understand the core features, and the technological organization of debitage flaking in the first production stage. In the second stage the blank flake was produced.

The third stage was the proximal and distal areas reduction (Figure 8). Proximal reduction aimed to remove the platform and the bulb in order to leave a totally flat inferior face, and possibly prepare a handle area. Distal reduction aimed to prepare a transformative UTF (Figure 9).

The fourth stage was the left and right sides reduction sequences, in order to prepare two opposite transformative UTFs. The fifth stage was the retouch of the three transformative UTFs (Figures 8 and 9). All of the identified transformative UTFs present features indicate their use as scrapers.
Figure 8. Diachronic organization of artifact negatives. Limace found at Lapa de Taquaraçu archaeological site. Scale bar correspond to 7 cm. Schematic drawing by João Carlos Moreno da Sousa.

Figure 9. Artifact production stages and transformative UTFs locations. Limace found at Lapa de Taquaraçu archaeological site. Scale bar has 7 cm. Scheme drawing by João Carlos Moreno da Sousa.
Some broken areas were identified, but they do not present any difference in patina, which means they had probably broken before the artifact was discarded.

The transformative UTFs main features are presented in Table 3. It is not possible to identify features patterns on negatives, obviously because this is the only piece found. However, this piece presents reduction negatives that vary between 1~3 mm length and 2~7 mm width, with flat surfaces, and some reflected accidents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>UTF 1</th>
<th>UTF 2</th>
<th>UTF 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction area angle</td>
<td>65°</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction area surface</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retouch edge area angle</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>65°</td>
<td>50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retouch edge area surface</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge contour</td>
<td>Irregular denticulate</td>
<td>Convex denticulate</td>
<td>Rectilinear denticulate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge length</td>
<td>90 mm</td>
<td>43 mm</td>
<td>&gt; 60 mm (broken)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. Micro-remains

Starch grains were recovered from the distal, left and right areas of the artifact, but not in the proximal area. These grains were found in the sediment I as well as in sediment II, except for the left part, where starch grains were found only in sediment II. Modified starch grains were found in the sediment I as well as in the sediment II (Figure 10). The number of starch grains found in each part of the tool is 10 or less, the right side appearing to be an exception, with almost 40 grains. The latter is an overrepresentation due to a concentration of more than 200 starch grains (Figure 11 S), of which 35 were counted and presented in the graph.

![Figure 10. Number of starch grains (modified or non-modified) found in the different parts of the artifact.](image-url)
Figure 11. Starch grains and various elements recovered from the sediment I (A-L) and sediment II (M-T) at the right side of the artifact. A-G, M-S: Starch grains. A: Starch grain 1 from sediment I; Starch grains 2-6 from sediment I; D-E: Grain 7 for sediment I; F-G: Grain 8 from sediment I; M-N: Starch 1 from sediment II; O-P: Starch grain 2 from sediment II; Q: Starch grain 3 from sediment II; R: Starch grain 4 from sediment II; S: Starch grains 5-200 from sediment II (35 of them counted and measured for this article). H-J: Non-identified elements; H: Non-identified element 1 from sediment I; I-J: Non-identified element 2 for sediment I. K-L: Vessel elements; K: Vessel element 1 for sediment I; L: Vessel element 2 for sediment I. T: Possible trichome. Photos taken under bright field (B, D, F, H, I, K, L, N, P, Q, R, S, T) and polarized (A, C, E, G, J, M, O) light. Scale Bar: A-J, M-N, S: 20 µm; O-R, T: 50 µm; K-L: 100 µm. Photos by Rodrigo Angeles Flores.
Beside the starch grains, other elements were recovered from the sediments I and II all over the archaeological piece, including the proximal side, where no starch grains were found (Figure 12). Some of these elements were not identified, and some of them tentatively identified, such as some possible phytoliths (Figure 13 B-C,) and a possible trichome (Figure 14 T). Amongst the identified elements, raphides (Figure 14 C-F) and vessel elements (Figures 15 O-P and 16 D-E) were found.

Figure 12. Other elements found in different parts of the tool. Elements recovered from sediment I are represented by blue rectangles, elements recovered from sediment II are represented by green circles.
3.3. Starch grains and other elements found in the control samples

Some starch grains were found in the control air samples, nail polish and on one glass slide. Some of the starch grains were similar to those found in this study, but the diversity of types in the archaeological samples was much greater.
Figure 15. Starch grains and various elements recovered from sediment I (A, B and C) and II (D-R) at the distal part of the archaeological tool. AB: Starch grain 1 recovered from sediment I. C: Non-identified element 1 recovered from sediment I. D-L: Starch grains recovered from sediment II; D-E: grain 1; F-G: grains 2 and 3; H-I: grain 4; J-L grain 5. M, N, Q, R: Non-identified elements recovered from sediment II; M: element 1, N: element 2; R: element 3. O-P: Vessel elements recovered from sediment II. Photos taken under bright field (A, E, F, H, K, L, O, P, Q) and polarized light (B, C, D, G, I, J, M, N). Scale bar: A, B, Q, R, 50 µm; C- N: 20 µm; O-P, 100 µm. Photos by Rodrigo Angeles Flores.
Figure 16. Starch grains and various elements recovered from sediment I (A-K) and sediment II (L-S) on the left side of the artifact. A-D: Non-identified elements recovered from sediment I; A-B: Non-identified element 1; C-D: Non-identified element 2; E-F: Non-identified element 3; G: Non-identified element 4; H-I: Non identified element 5. J-K: Cellular tissue, possibly radial parenchyma. L-Q: Starch grains recovered from sediment II; L-O: Starch grain 1; P-Q: Starch grain 2. R-S: Non-identified element recovered from sediment II. Photos taken under bright field (B, C, E, G, H, J, K, L, M, N, P, R) and polarized (A, D, F, I, O, Q, S) light. Scale bar: A-F, H-I, L-O, 20 µm; G, J-K, P-S, 50 µm. Photos by Rodrigo Angeles Flores.
4. Discussion and conclusions

It is not clear if the micro-remains found in sediment I (corresponding to the dry-brushed) are related to the use of the artifact, with post-depositional sedimentation, or are a mixture of both. Nevertheless, we can be almost certain that the micro-remains for sediment II are related to the use of this tool.

It was not possible to reach an identification at the species level of the starch grains present in this study. However, due to the variability noted in the different kind of grains found, the use of this artifact to process a variety of starchy vegetables could be inferred. This is reinforced by the fact that starch grains were found in the active parts of the artifact, but not in the proximal part and is further supported by some of the elements found in the sediments of the tool, such as vessel elements or raphides, not found in the control samples, which are consistent with what one might find associated with starchy vegetables (for example, Hardy et al. 2001; Loy 2006; Crowther 2005; Horrocks et al. 2008).

The fact that some starch grains present some kind of modification such as partial gelatinization or a collapsed mesial part could be an indication of an early process of grinding and cooking starchy materials (see Babot 2003; Babot 2006; Henry et al. 2009). Still, more reference studies with starchy vegetables in the area are needed in order to achieve an identification of the taxa and the specific modification suffered by the starch grains.

As for the technological analysis, it was possible to identify some of the same technological features of the Itaparica tradition limaces (for examples, see Lourdeau 2010; Moreno de Sousa 2016). The limace is, obviously, older than typical Lagoa Santa lithic vestiges and presents no similarity with the subsequent Lagoa Santa industry. There is no evidence from other Lagoa Santa sites suggesting any kind of contact between the manufacturers of this limace and the groups responsible for the typical Lagoa Santa lithic industry. Technological analysis for Taquaraçu is still being carried out, but our preliminary results show that the Lithic industry is extremely similar to the ones found at other Lagoa Santa sites, such as Lapa do Santo (Moreno de Souza 2014: 17) and Lapa das Boleiras (Pugliese 2007: 119). The same can be said if we take into consideration bone artifacts (spatulæ, perforators) and the strong anthropogenic signal in the site matrix. In addition, we consider that the absence of other limaces in upper layers is enough to claim that the site is not related to the cultural unity known as Itaparica Tradition. The artifact’s main features, such as general size, shape and raw material have been considered as not related to Lagoa Santa industry (Moreno de Sousa 2014: 57-58).

Starch grains and other elements found in the control samples make us think that some degree of modern contamination could be present. This indicates that the laboratory rooms were not completely starch free, but clearly this small contamination does not explain the presence of a very diverse assemblage of starch types and other plant elements that were confidently found in the archaeological samples (soil and limace edges). Detailed results and numbers can be consulted in Angeles Flores (2015).

The fact that micro-remains were recovered from an artifact that is older than 11,500 years old make this the earliest find of this type in the Americas until now; further work in similar contexts is needed in order to confirm this results. However, we can affirm that both the micro-remains found in the UTMs and the technological analysis seem to point to the function of this tool as a scraper that was used constantly to process diverse starchy vegetables.

Taking this into account, new questions emerge: Why was a limace at the bottom of the Lapa Grande de Taquaraçu site? Did this artifact come indirectly from this tradition and is it the product of a long-distance commercial network? Was this artifact used by the Itaparica
people or by the Lagoa Santa people? Do the micro-remains found in the limace reflect a difference in the plants processed by the users of these industries?

In order to answer at least some of these questions, a compilation of the published studies of Lagoa Santa lithic industry is underway, including a complete systematic technological analysis of the few formal artifacts found in Lagoa Santa’s industry, including lithic points and axe’s blades. More archeobotanical studies are being carried out at the Evolutionary Human Studies (LEEH), including a vast base of reference samples that comprises macrobotanical and microbotanical remains, the latter focusing on starchy vegetables. Further micro-remain studies on lithic artifacts recovered in other locations of the Lagoa Santa region are planned to take place as masters and PhD projects in the forthcoming years. We are looking forward to this and we expect these studies to expand and refine our knowledge about the ancient habitants of this rich archaeological region.
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